UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA NORTHERN DIVISION

SD VOICE and Cory Heidelberger,	·)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	Civ. 19- <u>1003</u>
)	
v.)	
)	
South Dakota Governor Kristi G. Noe	em,)	
in her official capacity, South Dakota	.)	
Attorney General Jason Ravnsborg, ir	ı)	
his official capacity, and South Dakot	a)	
Secretary of State Steve Barnett, in his	;)	
official capacity,)	
)	
Defendants.)	
•)	

Complaint for Preliminary and Permanent Injunction

Parties

- 1. SD Voice is a grassroots ballot question committee, registered with the State of South Dakota, which is dedicated to supporting ballot measures that strengthen democracy and opposing ballot measures that weaken it.
- 2. Cory Heidelberger is a resident of Aberdeen, South Dakota, who operates SD Voice and shares its goals.
- 3. SD Voice and Heidelberger have a practical, concrete, compelling, constitutional interest in regaining the rights—unlawfully taken from them by IM 24—to obtain money contributions from persons who are not residents of the state at the time of the contribution, political committees that are organized outside South Dakota, and entities that are not filed as an entity with the secretary of state for the four years preceding their contribution, who wish to contribute to the ballot questions promoted by SD Voice and Heidelberger.
- 4. Kristi G. Noem is the Governor of South Dakota and is sued in her official capacity.
- 5. Jason Ravnsborg is the Attorney General of South Dakota and is sued in his official capacity.

6. Steve Barnett is the Secretary of State of South Dakota and is sued in his official capacity.

<u>Jurisdiction</u>

7. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343(3).

<u>Facts</u>

- 8. IM 24, enacted by the voters of South Dakota in November, 2018, provides: "Any contribution to a statewide ballot question committee by a person who is not a resident of the state at the time of the contribution, a political committee that is organized outside South Dakota, or an entity that is not filed as an entity with the secretary of state for the four years preceding such contribution is prohibited."
 - 9. IM 24 provides civil and criminal penalties for its violation.
- 10. This lawsuit seeks a preliminary and permanent injunction against the enforcement of IM 24, because it is patently unconstitutional under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Dormant Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution, and the Equal Protection clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

- 11. Although IM 24 is not effective until July 1, 2019, if this lawsuit is delayed until IM 24 becomes effective, this lawsuit will not be resolved—assuming the party or parties that lose in this Court appeal to the Eighth Circuit, and the party or parties that lose in the Eighth Circuit seek review in the Supreme Court—until well after the November 4, 2019 deadline for submitting ballot question petitions, and possibly after the November 3, 2020, general election, thereby leaving in effect a blatantly unconstitutional law that criminalizes lawful political speech and trashes the United States Constitution.
- 12. IM 24 right now chills lawful political activity protected by the United States Constitution, because it denies ballot question committees vital and constitutionally protected rights beginning July 1, 2019.
- 13. Defendants are charged with enforcing IM 24, and unless restrained, will enforce it.
- 14. Money from non-residents was significant in passing Initiated Measure21 in 2016, an ethics reform measure that gained a majority of votes.
- 15. Out of state money has been and is commonly used in political campaigns, including ballot campaigns, in South Dakota and the rest of the United States.

- 16. Even the defendants support out-of-state money being used in South Dakota elections, because they all took out-of-state money in their South Dakota political campaigns.
- 17. South Dakota, in 1898, was the first state to allow the people the right to bring initiated measures and referenda statewide.
- 18. With IM 24, South Dakota became the first state to ban out-of-state money from state ballot question committees.
- 19. Although parochialism ("South Dakota elections should be decided by South Dakota money") has its defenders, IM 24's parochialism must yield to the Constitution of the United States.
- 20. The First Amendment allows political freedom and freedom of speech. The Dormant Commerce Clause allows economic freedom, and has prevented the balkanization of the United States into 50 separate entities. The Equal Protection Clause allows individual freedom in many different contexts, including political campaigns, as held in *Bush v. Gore*, 531 U.S. 98 (2000).
- 21. SD Voice has already obtained the Secretary of State's approval to circulate a petition for a ballot initiative to appear on the 2020 general election ballot

to strengthen democracy by removing restrictions imposed by the Legislature on the initiative process.

- 22. SD Voice has already received out-of-state money in support of its petition drive.
- 23. As of July 1, 2019, IM 24 will unconstitutionally prohibit all non-resident donors from making any further contributions.
- 24. The seed money received by SD Voice in February 2019 will help it launch its petition drive and demonstrate to future donors the viability of SD Voice's campaign.
- 25. SD Voice needs the coming months to build its circulation network, collect thousands of signatures, and demonstrate to potential donors that the campaign is viable and is worth their investment.
- 26. By the time that SD Voice is able to provide compelling evidence of the viability of its petition drive in the summer of 2019 to other potential non-resident donors, IM 24 will prohibit them from providing support for the initiative—support that would help SD Voice publicize its efforts, recruit more circulators, conduct complicated compliance requirements, and complete its petition drive by November 4, 2019, as required.

- 27. If SD Voice can submit the required thousands of valid South Dakota voter signatures to the Secretary of State by November 4, 2019, IM 24 will prohibit non-resident donors from providing vital financial support to SD Voice's ballot measure during the 2020 campaign.
- 28. In sum, although IM 24 is not effective until July 1, 2019, it effectively deters lawful political activity now.
- 29. By chilling the free expression of donors and denying SD Voice vital resources, IM 24 unreasonably burdens the ability of SD Voice to petition and advocate for ballot measures, and thereby denies South Dakotans the opportunity to learn about and cast an educated vote on ballot measures.
- 30. SD Voice wants and needs the opportunity to accept out-of-state money after July 1, 2019—and to do the other activities prohibited by IM 24—as guaranteed by the Constitution of the United States.

Cause of Action

31. IM 24 is unconstitutional on its face and as applied to plaintiffs, under the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, the Dormant Commerce Clause of the United States Constitution, and the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

32. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1983 and 42 U.S.C. 1985(3).

Request for Relief

Plaintiffs request this Court to:

- 1. Grant a preliminary and permanent injunction against defendants barring them from enforcing or threatening to enforce IM 24;
- 2. Grant plaintiffs their attorney fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988; and
 - 3. Grant such other and further relief as it deems just.

Dated: March 1, 2019

s James D Leach

ames D. Leach Attorney at Law

1617 Sheridan Lake Rd.

Rapid City, SD 57702

(605) 341-4400

jim@southdakotajustice.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

19-1003

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		SPECTV. Kristi C. Noem, SD Atty Genl Jason Ravnsborg, and SD Secty of State Steve Barnett, all sued in their official capacities				
SD VOICE and Cory Heide	elberger		Secry of State Steve			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Brown (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Hughes (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.				
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Au James D. Leach/Attorney 57702/605 341 4400	uress, and Telephone Number) at Law/1617 Sheridan Lake Rd/Rapid (ļ			
II. BASIS OF JURISDIC	CTION (Place on "X" in One Box Only)	ш. с	(For Diversity Cases Only)		(Place on "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF	
O 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citiz	zen of This State		incipal Place 🗇 4 🗇 4	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	Diversity (Indicate Chizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citi	izen of Another State	of Business In	Principal Place 0 5 0 5 Another State	
4.		<u>_</u>	izen or Subject of a Greign Country	S. A. Matura	of Suit Code Descriptions.	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only)		FOR PRINCIPAL PRINCIPAL STATE OF THE STATE O	Click here for: Nature BANKRUPTGY	OTHER STATE OF STATE OF STREET	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Milter Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veterar's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 160 Pranchise 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 120 Land Condemnation 120 Foreclosure 120 Property 120 Land Condemnation 1245 Tort Product Liability 1290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury Medical Malpractice 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other 448 Education TORTS PERSONAL INJURY Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Phiamaceutical Personal Injury Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Perso Liability PERSONAL PROF 370 Health Care/ Phiamaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Perso Liability PERSONAL PROF 971 Add A Anter Liability Assault Liability PERSONAL PROF 972 Prison Conditions to Vision Story Sentence 373 General 374 Mandarmus & Son Civil Rights 575 Civil Rights 575 Prison Conditions of Confinement	ity O ing ity	625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 690 Other LABOR 710 Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Management Relations 740 Railway Labor Act 1751 Family and Medical Leave Act 1790 Other Labor Litigation 1791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act MMIGRATION 1462 Naturalization Application Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	378 Faise Calains 378 Paise Calains 378 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts	
	Removed from 3 Remanded from Appellate Court Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which y 42 USC 1983	ou are filu	(spect) ng (Do not cite jurisdictional si	her District Chigat fu Transf	ion - Lingation -	
VII. REQUESTED II COMPLAINT:	Unconstitutionality of Initiated M		DEMAND \$	CHECK YES o JURY DEMAI	nly if demanded in complaint; ND: □ Yes 赵No	
VIII. RELATED CA	JUDGE		USINGE BECORN	DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 3/1/19	signature o	A D	NEY OF RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT #	AMOUNT APPLYING	FP	JUDGE	MAG.	JUDGE	